



# Some Fixed Point Theorems and Common Fixed Point Theorems in Metric Space Involving a Graph

**Mahpeyker Öztürk \*, Ekber Girgin**

*Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey  
E-mails: mahpeyker@sakarya.edu.tr, girginekber@hotmail.com*

*\*Corresponding author.*

**Abstract** In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contractions to generalize and extend the notion of  $A$ -contractions. We investigate the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for such contractions in metric space involving a graph. Also, under suitable assumptions, we prove some common fixed point theorems for two self-maps in metric space with a directed graph. Our results extend, improve, and generalize some recent results in the literature, including the results of Jachymski [Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., 136(2008), 1359-1373.] and Akram et al. [Novi.Sad.J.Math., 38(1),(2008),25-33]. Moreover, we present some examples to validate and illustrate our results.

**MSC:** 47H09, 47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:** Connected graph, Directed graph, Strong comparison function,  $A$ -Contraction.

---

Submission date: 12 May 2015 / Acceptance date: 28 June 2015 / Available online 30 June 2015  
Copyright 2015 © Theoretical and Computational Science

---

## INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the contraction mapping principle, formulated and proved in the Ph.D. dissertation of Banach in 1920, which was published in 1922 in [1], is one of the the most important theorems in classical functional analysis. This contraction mapping principle has been generalized in many directions. Recently, a very interesting generalization was obtained by Jachymski [2]. In fact, Jachymski [2] defined a concept of fixed point theory in some general structures by using the context of metric spaces endowed with a graph. After then Abbas [3] *et al.* obtained common fixed point results for such maps without appealing to any form of commutativity conditions defined on a partial metric space endowed with a directed graph. Beg [4] attained sufficient conditions for the existence of a common fixed point of the set-valued mappings in metric space involving a graph. Also, various authors proved fixed point theorems in abstract spaces endowed with a graph [5-15]. On the otherhand, Akram [16] introduced a new class of contraction maps, called  $A$ -contraction, which is a proper superclass of Kannan's [17], Bianchini's [18] and Reich's [19] type contractions.

---

© 2015 By TaCS Center, All rights reserve.



Published by Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS),

Available online @ <http://bangmod-jmcs.kmutt.ac.th/>

In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contractions to generalize and extend the notion of  $A$ -contractions. We investigate the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for such contractions in metric space with a graph. Also, under suitable assumptions, we prove some common fixed point theorems for two self-maps in metric space involving a directed graph. Our results extend, improve, and generalize some recent results in the literature.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we list some fundamental definitions that are useful tool in consequent analysis.

**Definition 1.** [16] Let  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denote the set of all non-negative real numbers and  $A$  be the set of all functions  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying

- i.  $\alpha$  is continuous on the set  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  (with respect to the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ ).
- ii.  $a \leq kb$  for some  $k \in [0, 1)$  whenever  $a \leq \alpha(a, b, b)$  or  $a \leq \alpha(b, a, b)$  or  $a \leq \alpha(b, b, a)$  for all  $a, b$ .

Now we give the class of contraction which is called  $A$ -contraction:

**Definition 2.** [16] A self-map  $T$  on a metric space  $X$  is said to be  $A$ -contraction if it satisfies the condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and some  $\alpha \in A$ .

$\Delta$  denote the diagonal of the Cartesian product  $X \times X$ . Let  $G$  be a directed graph such that the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $X$  and the set  $E(G)$  of its edges contains all loops; that is,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ . Assume that  $G$  has no parallel edges, so one can identify  $G$  with the pair  $(V(G), E(G))$ .

The conversion of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $G^{-1}$  and which is a graph obtained from  $G$  by reversing the direction of edges. Hence

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G)\}.$$

By  $\tilde{G}$ , we denote the undirected graph obtained from  $G$  by omitting the direction of edges. Indeed; it is more convenient to treat  $\tilde{G}$  as a directed graph for which the set of its edges is symmetric. Under this convention, we have

$$E(\tilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}).$$

For any  $x, y \in V'$ ,  $(x, y) \in E'$  such that  $V' \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $E' \subseteq E(G)$ , then  $(V', E')$  is called a subgraph of  $G$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices in a graph  $G$ , then a path from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  of  $N + 1$  vertices such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . A graph  $G$  is connected if there is a path between any two vertices.  $G$  is weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected. Some basic notations related to connectivity of graphs can be found in [20].

If  $G$  is such that  $E(G)$  is symmetric and  $x$  is a vertex in  $G$ , then the subgraph  $G_x$  consisting of all edges and vertices which are contained in some path beginning at  $x$  is

called the component of  $G$  containing  $x$ . In this case  $V(G) = [x]_G$  where  $[x]_G$  denotes the equivalence class of relation  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined on  $V(G)$  by the rule

$$y\mathfrak{R}z \text{ if there is a path in } G \text{ from } y \text{ to } z.$$

If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an operator, then we denote

$$X_T = \{x \in X : (x, Tx) \in E(G)\}.$$

**Definition 3.** [2] A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction or simply  $G$ -contraction if the following conditions hold;

i.  $T$  preserves edges of  $G$ ;

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

ii.  $T$  decreases weights of edges of  $G$  if there exists an  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \eta d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 4.** A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called orbitally  $G$ -continuous if, for all  $x, y \in X$  and any sequence  $(n_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive integers,

$$T^{n_p}x \rightarrow y, (T^{n_p}x, T^{n_p+1}x) \in E(G) \text{ imply } T(T^{n_p}x) \rightarrow Ty \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Definition 5.** [15] The graph  $G$  is called a  $(C)$ -graph whenever for each sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 0}$  such that  $(x_{n_k}, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Now we recall the following definitions which use them in the sequel.

**Definition 6.** [21] Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\}$  be a class of function, which satisfies the following conditions.

i.  $t_1 \leq t_2$  implies  $\varphi(t_1) \leq \varphi(t_2)$ ;

ii.  $(\varphi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to 0 for all  $t > 0$ ;

iii.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t)$  converges for all  $t > 0$ ;

If conditions (i-ii) hold then  $\varphi$  is called a comparison function, and, the comparison function satisfies (iii), then  $\varphi$  is called a strong comparison function.

**Remark 1.** [21] Any strong comparison function is a comparison function.

**Remark 2.** [21] If  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a comparison function, then  $\varphi(t) < t$ , for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi$  is right continuous at 0.

## 2. FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR $(A_\varphi, G)$ -CONTRACTION IN METRIC SPACE WITH A GRAPH

Initially, we establish some fixed-point theorems in metric space with a graph by defining  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contraction.

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denote the set of all non-negative real numbers and  $A_\varphi$  be the set of all functions  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying

i.  $\alpha$  is continuous on the set  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  (with respect to the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ ).

- ii. for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $u \leq \alpha(u, v, v)$  or  $u \leq \alpha(v, u, v)$  or  $u \leq \alpha(v, v, u)$ , then  $u \leq \varphi(v)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a strong comparison function.

In this definition, if we take  $\varphi(t) = kt$  as  $k \in (0, 1)$  for all  $t > 0$ , then we obtain  $\alpha \in A$ .

**Definition 8.** We say that a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contraction if it satisfy the following conditions;

- i.  $T$  preserves edges of  $G$ , i.e.  $((x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)), \forall x, y \in X$ ;  
 ii. there exist some  $\alpha \in A_\varphi$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), (y, Ty))$$

for each  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $X$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contraction for which there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Tx_0 \in [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ , then

- i.  $T$  is both a  $(A_\varphi, G^{-1})$ -contraction and a  $(A_\varphi, \tilde{G})$ -contraction,  
 ii.  $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  is  $T$ -invariant and  $T|_{[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $(A_\varphi, \tilde{G}_{x_0})$ -contraction.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(A_\varphi, G)$ -contraction. Then given  $x_0 \in X_T$ , there exists  $r(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 0$  such that

$$d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \leq \varphi^n(r(x_0, Tx_0))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $r(x_0, Tx_0) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X_T$ , i.e.,  $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ . Then an easy induction shows that  $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, using definition of  $\alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) &\leq \alpha(d(T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0), d(T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0), d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

In this way for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) &\leq \varphi(d(T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0)) \\ &\leq \varphi(\varphi(d(T^{n-2} x_0, T^{n-1} x_0))) \\ &= \varphi^2(d(T^{n-2} x_0, T^{n-1} x_0)) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(x_0, Tx_0)) = \varphi^n(r(x_0, Tx_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \leq \varphi^n(r(x_0, Tx_0))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $r(x_0, Tx_0) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph and  $T$  be self-map on  $X$ . Suppose that:

- i.  $G$  is weakly connected and  $(C)$ -graph;  
 ii.  $T$  is a  $(A_\varphi, \tilde{G})$ -contraction;

ii.  $X_T$  is nonempty.

Then  $T$  is a PO.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X_T$ , then  $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ . As from lemma 1, we get

$$d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \leq \varphi^n(r(x_0, T x_0)).$$

Since from definition 6 (ii), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(d(x_0, T x_0)) = 0$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

$$\varphi^n(d(x_0, T x_0)) < \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) < \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon), \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Now, for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n \geq n_0$ , we claim that

$$d(T^n x_0, T^m x_0) < \varepsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

We prove the inequality (2.2) by induction on  $m$ . The inequality (2.2) holds for  $m = n + 1$ , by using (2.1). Assume that (2.2) holds for  $m = k$ . So that  $m = k + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x_0, T^m x_0) &\leq d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) + d(T^{n+1} x_0, T^{k+1} x_0) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + d(T^{n+1} x_0, T^{k+1} x_0) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + \varphi(d(T^n x_0, T^k x_0)) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + \varphi(\varepsilon) \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

By induction on  $m$ , we conclude that (2.2) holds for  $m > n \geq n_0$ . Thus,  $\{T^n x_0\}$  is Cauchy sequence, by the completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^* \in X$ .

Next we prove that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x^*$  and  $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $G$  is a  $(C)$ -graph, there exists a subsequence  $\{T^{n_p} x_0\}$  of  $\{T^n x_0\}$  such that  $(T^{n_p} x_0, x^*) \in E(G)$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $(T^{n_p} x_0, x^*) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$d(T^{n_p+1} x_0, T x^*) \leq \alpha(d(T^{n_p} x_0, x^*), d(T^{n_p} x_0, T^{n_p+1} x_0), d(x^*, T x^*))$$

taking limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$d(x^*, T x^*) \leq \alpha(0, 0, d(x^*, T x^*)).$$

From definition of  $\alpha$ ,  $d(x^*, T x^*) \leq \varphi(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $T x^* = x^*$ .

Finally, we prove that  $x^*$  is a unique fixed point. Suppose that  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow y^*$  and  $y^* = T y^*$  with  $y^* \neq x^*$ .  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x^*$ ,  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow y^*$  and  $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also  $G$  is a  $(C)$ -graph, then there exists a subsequence  $\{T^{n_p} x_0\}$  of  $\{T^n x_0\}$  such that  $(T^{n_p} x_0, x^*) \in E(G)$  and  $(T^{n_p} x_0, y^*) \in E(G)$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore,

$(T^{n_p}x_0, x^*) \in E(\tilde{G})$  and  $(T^{n_p}x_0, y^*) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  because of the fact that  $G$  is weakly connected  $(x^*, y^*) \in E(\tilde{G})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, y^*) &= d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \leq \alpha(d(x^*, y^*), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(y^*, Ty^*)) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(x^*, y^*), 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $\alpha$ ,  $d(x^*, y^*) \leq \varphi(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $y^* = x^*$ .

In this theorem, by replacing the property (C)-graph with  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous, we have following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph and  $T$  be self-map on  $X$ . Suppose that:

- i.  $G$  is weakly connected;
- ii.  $T$  is  $(A_\varphi, \tilde{G})$ -contraction and orbitally  $G$ -continuous;
- iii.  $X_T$  is nonempty.

Then  $T$  is a PO.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X_T$ , then Theorem 2 implies that  $\{T^n x_0\}$  is Cauchy sequence. Owing to completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^* \in X$ . Because  $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous, therefore  $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(T^n x_0) = Tx^*$ . That is,  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Assume that  $y^*$  is another fixed point of  $T$ . If we use the same technique as in the Theorem 2, then we obtain that  $y^* = x^*$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an edge-preserving and the set  $X_T$  be nonempty. Suppose that:

- i.  $G$  is weakly connected and (C)-graph;
- ii. there exist some  $\alpha \in A$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), (y, Ty))$$

for each  $(x, y) \in E(\tilde{G})$ .

Then  $T$  is a PO.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an edge-preserving and the set  $X_T$  be nonempty. Suppose that:

- i.  $G$  is weakly connected;
- ii.  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous;
- iii. there exist some  $\alpha \in A$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), (y, Ty))$$

for each  $(x, y) \in E(\tilde{G})$ .

Then  $T$  is a PO.

The following example verify all conditions of Theorem 1, also since  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous satisfy all conditions of Theorem 2.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Consider

$$E(\tilde{G}) = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 4), (3, 4)\},$$

and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \{2, 3\} \\ 1, & x \in \{0, 1, 4\}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $G$  is weakly connected and  $(C)$ -graph,  $X_T$  is nonempty and  $T$  is a  $(A_\varphi, \tilde{G})$ -contraction and orbitally  $G$ -continuous, where  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ . Moreover, 1 is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .

### 3. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON DIRECTED GRAPHS

First, we introduce  $\mu$ -graph. Also, we prove common fixed-point theorems in metric space with a directed graph by using this concept.

**Definition 9.** Let  $S, T$  be self-maps on  $X$ . We say that  $G$  is a  $\mu$ -graph whenever for each sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , there is a subsequence  $\{x_{2n_p}\}$  of  $\{x_{2n}\}$  such that either  $T$  is continuous and  $(x, x_{2n_p+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $p \geq 0$  or  $S$  is continuous and  $(x_{2n_p}, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $p \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $S, T$  be self-maps on  $X$ . Assume that the following assertions hold:

- i.  $G$  is a  $\mu$ -graph;
- ii. there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ , such that

$$(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \in E(G) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}) \in E(G)$$

and

$$(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) \in E(G) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (x_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}) \in E(G);$$

- iii. there exist some  $\alpha \in A_\varphi$  such that

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Sx), (y, Ty))$$

for each  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ;

- iv.  $X_{ST} = \{x \in X : (x, Sx) \in E(G) \text{ and } (Sx, TSx) \in E(G)\}$  is nonempty.

Then  $S, T$  have a common fixed point. Moreover, suppose that for any two common fixed point  $x^*, y^*$  of  $S$  and  $T$ , there exists  $z \in X_p$  such that  $(x^*, z) \in E(G)$  and  $(z, y^*) \in E(G)$ . Thus,  $S, T$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be arbitrary element of  $X$ , and establish  $\{x_n\}$  by

$$x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$$

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $(x_0, Sx_0) \in E(G)$  and  $(x_1, Tx_1) \in E(G)$ , for  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ . Then from the assumption for  $x_2, x_3 \in X$ ,  $(x_2, Sx_2) \in E(G)$  and  $(x_3, Tx_3) \in E(G)$ . Then we continuing this way,  $(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \in E(G)$  and  $(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) \in E(G)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in E(G)$  and  $(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \in E(G)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})) \\ &= \alpha(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})). \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $\alpha$ ,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \varphi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, from (ii),  $(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \in E(G)$  and so  $(x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}) \in E(G)$ . That is,  $(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \in E(G)$ . Thus, by using (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) &= d(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})) \\ &= \alpha(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $\alpha$ ,

$$d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \leq \varphi(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By this way, if we continue, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) &\leq \varphi(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\varphi(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))) \\ &= \varphi^2(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^{2n+2}(d(x_0, x_1)), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n(d(x_0, x_1))$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since from definition 6 (ii), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) = 0$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

$$\varphi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) < \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon), \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Now, for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n \geq n_0$ , we claim that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

We prove the inequality (3.2) by induction on  $m$ . The inequality (3.2) holds for  $m = n+1$ , by using (3.1). Assume that (3.2) holds for  $m = k$ . So that  $m = k+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{k+1}) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{k+1}) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + \varphi(d(x_n, x_k)) \\ &< \varepsilon - \varphi(\varepsilon) + \varphi(\varepsilon) \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$



By induction on  $m$ , we conclude that (3.2) holds for  $m > n \geq n_0$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Because  $X$  is complete,  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ .

Now we prove that  $x^*$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Because of  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in E(G)$ , because  $G$  is  $\mu$ -graph, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{2n_p}\}$  of  $\{x_{2n}\}$  such that either  $T$  is continuous and  $(x^*, x_{2n_p+1}) \in E(G)$  or  $S$  is continuous and  $(x_{2n_p}, x^*) \in E(G)$ . Assume that  $T$  is continuous and  $(x^*, x_{2n_p+1}) \in E(G)$ . thus

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n_p+1} \Rightarrow Tx^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n_p+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n_p+2} = x^*.$$

That is,  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Moreover from (iii), we get

$$d(Sx^*, Tx_{2n_p+1}) \leq \alpha(d(x^*, x_{2n_p+1}), d(x^*, Sx^*), d(x_{2n_p+1}, Tx_{2n_p+1})),$$

as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$d(Sx^*, x^*) \leq \alpha(0, d(x^*, Sx^*), 0)$$

$$\leq \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Then  $Sx^* = x^*$ . Thus,  $x^*$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Similarly, suppose that  $S$  is continuous and  $(x_{2n_p}, x^*) \in E(G)$ , we obtain again desired result.

For the uniqueness,  $y^*$  is another common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Then  $(x^*, z) \in E(G)$  and  $(z, y^*) \in E(G)$ . As  $G$  is a directed graph, so  $(x^*, y^*) \in E(G)$ .

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(Sx^*, Ty^*) \leq \alpha(d(x^*, y^*), d(x^*, Sx^*), d(y^*, Ty^*))$$

$$\leq \alpha(d(x^*, y^*), 0, 0)$$

$$\leq \varphi(0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x^*$  is unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $S, T$  be self-maps on  $X$ . Assume that the following assertions hold:

- i.  $G$  is a  $\mu$ -graph;
- ii. there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $X$ , such that

$$(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}) \in E(G)$$

and

$$(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}) \in E(G);$$

- iii. there exist some  $\alpha \in A$  such that

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty))$$

for each  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ;

- iv.  $X_{ST}$  is nonempty.

Then  $S, T$  have a common fixed point.

The following example demonstrate that all conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied.

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Consider

$$E(G) = \{(0, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (3, 1), (0, 3)\},$$

and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \{1, 3\} \\ 2, & x = 0 \\ 3, & x = 2 \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 3 \\ 1, & x \in \{1, 2\} \\ 2, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then all conditions of Theorem 3 is satisfied with  $\varphi(t) = \frac{2t}{3}$ . Moreover, 1 is a unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux equations integrales*, Fund. Math., **(1)**(2012), 133-181.
- [2] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136**(2008), 1359-1373.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, *Common fixed point of a power graphic contraction pair in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **(2013)**, (2013), 20.
- [4] I. Beg, A. Rashid Butt, *Fixed point of set-valued graph contractive mappings*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **2013** (2013):252, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2013-252.
- [5] F. Bojor, *Fixed points of Kannan mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, An.Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, **20**(1)(2012), 31-40.
- [6] F. Bojor, *Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph*, Nonlinear Anal., **75**(2012), 3895-3901.
- [7] F. Bojor, *Fixed point of  $\varphi$ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Annals of the Uni. Craiova, Math. Comput. Sci. Series, **37**(4)(2010), 85-92.
- [8] G. Gwózdź-Lukawska, J. Jachymski, *IFS on a metric space with a graph structure and extensions of the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **356**(2009), 453-463.
- [9] G.R. Petruşel, Chifu C.I., *Generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., **2012**(161)(2012), 1-9.
- [10] I. Beg, A. Rashid Butt, S. Radojević, *The contraction principle for set valued mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Comput. Math. Appl., **60**(2010), 1214-1219.
- [11] M. Öztürk, M. Abbas, E. Girgin, *Fixed points of mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type in modular spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2014**,2014:220 doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-220.
- [12] M. Öztürk, E. Girgin, *On some fixed-point theorems for  $\psi$ -contraction on metric space involving a graph*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **2014**(39) (2014), doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-39.
- [13] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, *Fixed point theorems for integral  $G$ -contractions*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **(2013)**, (2013), Article 149.
- [14] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, N. Shahzad, *Some Fixed Point Theorems in  $b$ -Metric Space Endowed with a Graph*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **(2013)**, (2013), 9, Article ID 967132.

- [15] S.M.A Aleomraninejad, Sh. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, *Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph*, Topology and Its Applications, **(159)**, (2012), 659-663.
- [16] M. Akram, A. A. Zafar, A. A. Siddiqui, *A general class of contractions: A-contractions*, Novi Sad J. Math, **38(1)**, (2008), 25-33.
- [17] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta. Math. Soc., **60**, (1968), 71-76.
- [18] R. Bianchini, *Su un problema di S. Reich riguardante la teori dei punti fissi*, Boll. Un. Math. Ital., **5**, (1972), 103-108.
- [19] S. Reich, *Kannan's fixed point theorem*, Boll. Un. Math. Ital., **5**, (1971), 1-11.
- [20] R. Johnsonbaugh, *Discrete Mathematics*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1997.
- [21] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, *Fixed point theory*, Cluj Univ. Press, 2008.

---

**Bangmod International**  
Journal of Mathematical Computational Science  
ISSN: 2408-154X  
**Bangmod-JMCS Online @ <http://bangmod-jmcs.kmutt.ac.th/>**  
Copyright ©2015 By **TaCS** Center, All rights reserve.

**Journal office:**

Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS)  
Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science  
King Mongkuts University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT)  
126 Pracha Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok, Thailand 10140  
Website: <http://tacs.kmutt.ac.th/>  
Email: [tacs@kmutt.ac.th](mailto:tacs@kmutt.ac.th)