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1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article we assume that \(E\) is a real Banach space with norm \(\| \cdot \|\), \(E^*\) is the dual space of \(E\), \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) is the duality pairing between \(E\) and \(E^*\), \(C\) is a nonempty closed convex subset of \(E\), \(U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}\) is the unit sphere of \(E\), \(\mathbb{N}\) and \(\mathbb{R}^+\)
are the set of the natural numbers and the set of nonnegative real numbers, respectively. \( J : E \to 2^{E^*} \) is the normalized duality mapping defined by

\[
J(x) = \{ f^* \in E^* : \langle x, f^* \rangle = \|x\|^2; \|f^*\| = \|x\|, x \in E. \]

Let \( T : C \to C \) be a nonlinear mapping, \( F(T) \) denote the set of fixed points of mapping \( T \).

A subset \( C \) of \( E \) is said to be **retract** of \( E \) if there exists a continuous mapping \( P : E \to C \) such that \( Px = x \) for all \( x \in C \). A mapping \( P : E \to C \) is said to be a **retraction** if \( P^2 = P \). Note that if \( P \) is a retraction, then \( Pz = z \) for all \( z \in R(P) \), the range of \( P \). A Banach space \( E \) is said to be **strictly convex** if \( \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} < 1 \) for \( x, y \in U, x \neq y \). \( X \) is said to be **uniformly convex** if for every \( \epsilon \in (0,2] \), there is \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} < 1-\delta \) for any \( x, y \in U \) with \( \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \). Every nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is a retraction. A Banach space \( E \) is said to be **smooth**, if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|y\|}{t} \) exists for each \( x, y \in U \). \( X \) is said to be **uniformly smooth**, if the above limit is attained uniformly for each \( x, y \in U \). It is well known that if \( E \) is reflexive and smooth, then \( J \) is surjective and single valued. A Banach space \( E \) is said to have the **Kadec-Klee property**, if for any sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of \( E \) with \( x_n \rightharpoonup x \in E \) and \( \|x_n\| \to \|x\| \), then \( x_n \to x \). It is known that if \( E \) is a uniformly convex Banach space, then \( E \) has the Kadec-Klee property.

In the sequel, we assume that \( E \) is a smooth, uniformly convex and reflexive Banach space and \( C \) is a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \). We use \( \phi : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) to denote the Lyapunov functional defined by

\[
\phi(x,y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2, \forall x,y \in E. \tag{1.1}
\]

It is obvious that

\[
(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2)^2 \leq \phi(x,y) \leq (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)^2, \forall x,y \in E \tag{1.2}
\]

and

\[
\phi(x,J^{-1}(\lambda Jy + (1-\lambda)Jz)) \leq \lambda \phi(x,y) + (1-\lambda) \phi(x,z),
\phi(x,y) = \phi(x,z) + \phi(z,y) + 2\langle x-z, Jz - Jy \rangle, \forall x,y,z \in E. \tag{1.3}
\]

The generalized projection \([1] \) \( \Pi_C x : E \to C \) is defined by

\[
\Pi_C x = \arg \inf_{y \in C} \phi(x,y), \forall x \in E.
\]

**Lemma 1.1.** [1] Let \( E \) be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space and \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \). Then the following conclusions hold:

(i) \( \phi(x,\Pi_CY) + \phi(\Pi_CY,y) \leq \phi(x,y) \) for all \( x \in C, y \in E \);

(ii) If \( x \in E \) and \( z \in C \), then \( z = \Pi_C x \iff \langle z-y, Jx - Jz \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \);

(iii) For \( x, y \in E \), \( \phi(x,y) = 0 \) if and only if \( x = y \).

**Lemma 1.2.** [2] Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) be two sequences of \( E \). If \( \phi(x_n,y_n) \to 0 \) and either \( \{x_n\} \) or \( \{y_n\} \) is bounded, then \( \|x_n - y_n\| \to 0 \).

Recently, many researchers have focused on studying the convergence of iterative scheme for quasi-\( \phi \)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings (See [3–6]) and total quasi-\( \phi \)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings (see [7–10]). The class of strict quasi-\( \phi \)-pseudocontractions was first considered by Zhou et al[11]. Qin et al [12] first considered the class of asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \)-pseudocontractions. Zhang [13] established some strong
convergence theorems of fixed points for asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$-pseudocontractions by hybrid projection algorithms. Qin et al [14] introduced the notion of asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$-pseudocontraction in the intermediate sense, and proved some strong convergence theorems to a fixed point in a real Banach space.

**Definition 1.3.** (1)[11] A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a constant $\kappa \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\phi(p,Tx) \leq \phi(p,x) + \kappa \phi(x,Tx), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T).$$

(2)[12] A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist a sequences $\{k_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ with $k_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ and a constant $\kappa \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\phi(p,T^n x) \leq k_n \phi(p,x) + \kappa \phi(x,T^n x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(3)[9] A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ nonexpansive mapping, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist sequences $\{\mu_n\}, \{\nu_n\}$ with $\mu_n, \nu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\phi(p,T^n x) \leq \phi(p,x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(p,x)) + \nu_n$$

holds for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Definition 1.4.** A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and there exist sequences $\{\mu_n\}, \{\nu_n\}$ with $\mu_n, \nu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and a constant $\kappa \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\phi(p,T^n x) \leq \phi(p,x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(p,x)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x,T^n x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

**Remark 1.5.** Obviously the class of total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mappings include asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mappings and total asymptotically quasi-$\phi$ nonexpansive mappings as special cases.

Now, we give some examples of total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

**Example 1.6.** Let $B$ be a unit ball in a real Hilbert $l^2 := \{x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots)\} | \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \leq \infty\}$, and let $T : B \to B$ be a mapping defined by

$$T : (x_1, x_2, \cdots, ) \to (0, x_1^2, a_2 x_2, a_3 x_3, \cdots)$$

where $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $(2 \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_j) - 1 > 0$ and $\prod_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i = \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\phi(p, T^n x) = ||p - T^n x||^2$$

$$\leq 2(\prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i)||p - Tx||^2 + \kappa ||x - T^n x||^2$$

$$= 2(\prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i)\phi(p, Tx) + \kappa \phi(x, T^n x), \forall x \in C, n \geq 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

Denote by $\mu_1 = 2, \mu_n = (2 \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_j) - 1, n \geq 2, \psi(\phi(p,x)) = \phi(p,x)$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} (2 \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_j)^2 = 1.$$
Letting $\kappa = \nu_n = 0$, then $\forall x, y \in C$, $n \geq 1$, we have
$$
\phi(p, T^n x) \leq \phi(p, x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(p, x)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x, T^n x), \forall x \in B, p \in F(T), n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
This implies that $T$ is a total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

**Example 1.7.** Let $X = l^2$ with the norm $\| \cdot \|$ defined by
$$
\|x\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2}, \forall x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \in X,
$$
and $C = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) | x_i \in R^1, i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be an orthogonal subspace of $X$ (i.e., $\forall x, y \in C$, we have $(x, y) = 0$). It is obvious that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$. For each $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \in C$, we define a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ by
$$
T x = \begin{cases} 
(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots), & \text{if } \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i < 0; \\
(-x_1, -x_2, \ldots, -x_n, \ldots), & \text{if } \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \geq 0.
\end{cases}
$$
(1.6)
Next we prove that $T$ is a total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

In fact, for any $x \in C, p \in F(T)$, denote by $\psi(\phi(p, x)) = \phi(p, x)$. Now we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. If $\Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i < 0$, then we have $T^n x = x$ and so
$$
\phi(p, T^n x) = \|p - T^n x\|^2 = \|p - x\|^2 \\
\leq \phi(p, x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(p, x)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x, T^n x), n \in \mathbb{N},
$$
then inequality (1.4) holds.

Case 2. If $\Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \geq 0$, then we have $T^n x = (-1)^n x$. Hence we have
$$
\phi(p, T^n x) = \|p - T^n x\|^2 = \|p - (-1)^n x\|^2 = \|p\|^2 + \|x\|^2 \\
\leq \phi(p, x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(p, x)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x, T^n x), n \in \mathbb{N},
$$
thus the inequality (1.4) still holds. Therefore the mapping defined by (1.6) is a total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

**Example 1.8.** [15] Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space and $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a maximal monotone mapping such that $A^{-1} 0 \neq \emptyset$, then $J_r = (J + r A)^{-1} J$ is a closed and quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive mapping from $E$ onto $D(A)$, and so it is a total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

**Example 1.9.** [3] Let $\Pi_C$ be the generalized projection from a smooth, reflexive and strictly convex Banach space $E$ onto a nonempty closed convex subset $C$ of $E$, then $\Pi_C$ is a closed and quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive from $E$ onto $C$. Therefore, it is a total asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontractive mapping.

Recently the strong and weak convergence of nonself mappings have been considered extensively by several authors in the setting of Hilbert or Banach spaces (see for example [16–23]). Especially Chang [16] studied the convergence theorems for a countable family of quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mappings in the setting of Banach spaces by using the modified Halpern and Mann-type iteration algorithm. Now we give the following definitions.
Definition 1.10. Let \( P : E \rightarrow C \) be a retraction.

1. [16] A mapping \( T : C \rightarrow E \) is said to be quasi-\( \phi \) asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping, if \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \), and there exist a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, +\infty) \) with \( k_n \rightarrow 1 \) such that
   \[
   \phi(u, T(P)T)^{-1}x \leq k_n \phi(u, x) \quad \forall x \in C, \ u \in F(T), \ \text{and} \ n \geq 1.
   \]

2. A mapping \( T : C \rightarrow E \) is said to be asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \) pseudocontractive nonself mapping, if \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \), and there exist a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, +\infty) \) with \( k_n \rightarrow 1 \) and a constant \( \kappa \in [0, 1) \) such that for all \( x \in C, u \in F(T) \) and all \( n \geq 1 \)
   \[
   \phi(u, T(P)T)^{-1}x \leq k_n \phi(u, x) + \kappa \phi(x, T(P)^{-1}x).
   \]

3. A mapping \( T : C \rightarrow E \) is said to be total asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \) pseudonotone nonself mapping, if \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \) and there exist sequences \( \{\mu_n\}, \{\nu_n\} \) with \( \mu_n, \nu_n \rightarrow 0 \) and a strictly increasing continuous function \( \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) with \( \psi(0) = 0 \) and a constant \( \kappa \in [0, 1) \) such that for all \( x \in C, u \in F(T) \) and all \( n \geq 1 \)
   \[
   \phi(u, T(P)T)^{-1}x \leq \phi(u, x) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(u, x)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x, T(P)^{-1}x).
   \]

4. A nonself mappings \( T : C \rightarrow E \) is said to be asymptotically regular on \( C \), if for any bounded subset \( K \) of \( C \), the following holds
   \[
   \limsup_{n \to \infty, x \in K} \{\|T(P)T^n x - T(P)^n x\|\} = 0
   \]

The purpose of this paper is by using hybrid projection algorithms to prove some strong convergence to a fixed point of total asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \) pseudocontractive nonself mappings in the framework of Banach spaces. The results presented in this article improve and extend the corresponding results of [7–14] and many others.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.11. Let \( E \) be a real uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \). Let \( T : C \rightarrow E \) be a continuous total asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \) pseudocontractive nonself mapping with respect to \( P \) defined by Definition 1.10, if \( \nu_1 = 0 \), then the fixed point set \( F(T) \) is a closed and convex set of \( C \).

Proof. Let \( \{u_n\} \) be a sequence in \( F(T) \) such that \( u_n \rightarrow u (n \to \infty) \), we need to prove that \( u \in F(T) \).

Since \( T : C \rightarrow E \) is a continuous total asymptotically strict quasi-\( \phi \) pseudocontractive nonself mapping, we have
   \[
   \phi(u, Tu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(u_n, Tu) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} [\phi(u_n, u) + \mu_1 \psi(\phi(u_n, u)) + \nu_1 + \kappa \phi(u, Tu)]. \tag{1.7}
   \]

This together with \( \nu_1 = 0 \), we have
   \[
   \phi(u, Tu) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \lim_{n \to \infty} [\phi(u_n, u) + \mu_1 \psi(\phi(u_n, u)) + \nu_1] = 0. \tag{1.8}
   \]

By Lemma 1.1(iii) and (1.8), we have \( u = Tu \). This implies that \( F(T) \) is closed.

We now prove that \( F(T) \) is convex. Let \( u_1, u_2 \in F(T) \) and \( u = tu_1 + (1 - t)u_2 \), where \( t \in (0, 1) \). From the definition of \( T \), we see that
   \[
   \phi(u_1, T(P)T)^{-1}u \leq \phi(u_1, u) + \mu_1 \psi(\phi(u_1, u)) + \nu_1 + \kappa \phi(u, T(P)^{-1}u)
   \]
   \[
   \text{and} \quad \phi(u_2, T(P)T)^{-1}u \leq \phi(u_2, u) + \mu_1 \psi(\phi(u_2, u)) + \nu_1 + \kappa \phi(u, T(P)^{-1}u).
   \]
On the other hand, we obtain from (1.3) that
\[ \phi(u_1, T(PT)^{n-1}u) = \phi(u_1, u) + \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) + 2\langle u_1 - u, J u - JT(PT)^{n-1}u \rangle \]
and
\[ \phi(u_2, T(PT)^{n-1}u) = \phi(u_2, u) + \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) + 2\langle u_2 - u, J u - JT(PT)^{n-1}u \rangle. \]
So we can deduce from above that
\[ \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) \leq \frac{2\langle u - u_1, J u - JT(PT)^{n-1}u \rangle + \mu_n \psi(\phi(u_1, u)) + \nu_n}{1 - \kappa} \]  
(1.9)
and
\[ \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) \leq \frac{2\langle u - u_2, J u - JT(PT)^{n-1}u \rangle + \mu_n \psi(\phi(u_2, u)) + \nu_n}{1 - \kappa}. \]  
(1.10)
Multiplying \( t \) and \( 1 - t \) on the both sides of (1.9) and (1.10), respectively, it yields that
\[ \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) \leq \frac{\mu_n [t \psi(\phi(u_1, u)) + (1 - t) \psi(\phi(u_2, u))] + \nu_n}{1 - \kappa}. \]  
(1.11)
Hence we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) = 0. \]
In light of Lemma 1.2, we obtain that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(PT)^{n-1}u\| = \|u\| \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \|J(T(PT)^{n-1}u)\| = \|Ju\|. \]  
(1.12)
Since \( E^* \) is reflexive, without loss of generality, we may assume that \( J(T(PT)^{n-1}u) \to e^* \in E^* \). In view of the reflexivity of \( E \), we have \( JE = E^* \). So there exists an element \( e \in E \), such that \( Je = e^* \). It follows from (1.1) that
\[ \phi(u, T(PT)^{n-1}u) = \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, J(T(PT)^{n-1}u) \rangle + \|T(PT)^{n-1}u\|^2 \]
\[ = \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, J(T(PT)^{n-1}u) \rangle + \|J(T(PT)^{n-1}u)\|^2. \]
Taking \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \) on the both sides of the above equality, we obtain that
\[ 0 = \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, e^* \rangle + \|e^*\|^2 = \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, Je \rangle + \|Je\|^2 \]
\[ = \|u\|^2 - 2\langle u, Je \rangle + \|e\|^2 = \phi(u, e) \]  
(1.13)
which implies that \( u = e \), that is \( Ju = e^* \), so that \( J(T(PT)^{n-1}u) \to Ju \in E^* \). By the Kadec-Klee property of \( E^* \), we obtain from (1.12) that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|J(T(PT)^{n-1}u) - Ju\| = 0. \]
Since \( J^{-1} : E^* \to E \) is demicontinuous, we see that \( T(PT)^{n-1}u \to u \). By virtue of the Kadec-Klee property of \( E \), we see from (1.12) that \( T(PT)^{n-1}u \to u \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence \( T(PT)^{n}u \to u \) as \( n \to \infty \), i.e. \( TP[T(PT)^{n-1}u] \to u \) as \( n \to \infty \). In view of the continuity of \( TP \), we can obtain that \( TPu = u \). Since \( u \in C, Pu = u \), we get \( Tu = u \). So \( F(T) \) is convex. The proof of Lemma 1.11 is completed.
2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let \( E \) be a real uniformly convex and smooth Banach space, \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \). Let \( T : C \rightarrow E \) be a continuous and total asymptotically strict quas-\( \phi \) pseudocontractive nonself mapping. Suppose \( T \) is asymptotically regular and \( F(T) \) is nonempty and bounded. Suppose there exist \( M^* > 0 \), such that \( \psi(\eta) \leq M^* \eta \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{n+1} &= \{z \in C_n : \phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - z, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle + \xi_n \} \\
x_{n+1} &= \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_n, \forall n \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

where \( \xi_n = \mu_n M^* \sup_{p \in F(T)} \phi(p, x_n) + \nu_n \). If \( \nu_1 = 0 \), then the iterative sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_{F(T)} x_1 \) which is a fixed point of \( T \) in \( C \).

Proof. (I) We prove that \( F(T) \) and \( C_n (n \in \mathbb{N}) \) are all closed and convex subsets in \( C \).

Indeed it follows from Lemma 1.11 that \( F(T) \) is a closed and convex subset of \( C \). \( C_n (n \in \mathbb{N}) \) is obviously closed. By the assumption we know that \( C_1 = C \) is convex. We suppose that \( C_n \) is convex for some \( n \geq 2 \). We now show \( C_{n+1} \) is convex. Let \( z_1, z_2 \in C_{n+1} \), we have that

\[
\phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - z_1, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle + \xi_n
\]

and

\[
\phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - z_2, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle + \xi_n.
\]

Let \( z = tz_1 + (1 - t)z_2 \), where \( t \in (0, 1) \), we can get that

\[
\phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - z, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle + \xi_n.
\]

This shows that \( C_{n+1} \) is convex.

(II) We prove that \( F(T) \subset C_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \).

In fact \( F(T) \subset C_1 = C \). Suppose that \( F(T) \subset C_n \) for some \( n \geq 2 \). For any \( u \in F(T) \subset C_n \), it follows from (1.3) that

\[
\phi(u, Tn^{-1}x_n) = \phi(u, x_n) + \phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) + 2 \langle u - x_n, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle.
\]

From equality (2.2) and

\[
\phi(u, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \phi(u, x_n) + \mu_n \psi(\phi(u, x_n)) + \nu_n + \kappa \phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n),
\]

we obtain that

\[
\phi(x_n, Tn^{-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - u, Jx_n - JTn^{-1}x_n \rangle + \xi_n
\]

where \( \xi_n = \mu_n M^* \sup_{p \in F(T)} \phi(p, x_n) + \nu_n \). This shows that \( u \in C_{n+1} \), so \( F(T) \subset C_{n+1} \).

(III) We prove that \( \{x_n\} \) is a convergent sequence in \( C \).

Since \( x_n = \prod_{C_n} x_1 \), from Lemma 1.1(ii) we have

\[
\langle x_n - y, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C_n.
\]
Again since $F(T) \subset C_n$, $n \geq 1$, we have
\[\langle x_n - u, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in F(T). \quad (2.4)\]
It follows from Lemma 1.1(i) that for each $u \in F(T)$, $n \geq 1$,
\[\phi(x_n, x_1) = \phi(\Pi C_n, x_1, x_1) \leq \phi(u, x_1) - \phi(u, x_n) \leq \phi(u, x_1)\]
Therefore \{\phi(x_n, x_1)\} is bounded. By virtue of (1.2), \{x_n\} is also bounded. Since the
space $E$ is reflexive, we may assume that there exists a subsequence \{x_n_i\} of \{x_n\} such that
$x_n_i \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ (some point in $C = C_1$). Since $C_n$ is closed and convex and $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we see that $C_n$ is weakly closed and $\bar{x} \in C_n, \forall n \geq 1$. Since $x_n_i = \Pi C_n, x_1$, we have
\[\phi(x_n_i, x_1) \leq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1), \forall n_i \geq 1.\]
On the other hand, from the weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm, we have that
\[\phi(\bar{x}, x_1) = \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jx_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2\]
\[\leq \liminf_{n_i \to \infty}(\|x_n_i\|^2 - 2\langle x_n_i, Jx_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2)\]
\[= \liminf_{n_i \to \infty} \phi(x_n_i, x_1)\]
\[\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n_i, x_1)\]
\[\leq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1),\]
which implies that $\phi(x_n_i, x_1) \to \phi(\bar{x}, x_1)$ as $n_i \to \infty$. So $\|x_n_i\| \to \|\bar{x}\|$ as $n_i \to \infty$. In view
of the Kadec-Klee property of $E$ and $x_n_i \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$, we obtain that $x_n_i \to \bar{x}$ as $n_i \to \infty$. If there exists another subsequence \{x_n_j\} such that \{x_n_j\} $\to \bar{y}$, then from Lemma (1.1)(i) we have
\[\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \lim_{n_i \to \infty, n_j \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_i}, x_{n_j})\]
\[= \lim_{n_i \to \infty, n_j \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_i}, \Pi C_{n_j} x_1)\]
\[\leq \lim_{n_i \to \infty, n_j \to \infty} (\phi(x_{n_i}, x_1) - \phi(\Pi C_{n_j} x_1, x_1))\]
\[= \lim_{n_i \to \infty, n_j \to \infty} (\phi(x_{n_i}, x_1) - \phi(x_{n_j}, x_1))\]
\[= 0\]
That is to say $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$. So
\[\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \bar{x}\]
holds. By the way, we get that
\[\lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu_n M^* \sup_{p \in F(T)} \phi(p, x_n) + \nu_n}{1 - \kappa} = 0.\]
(IV) Now we prove $\bar{x} \in F(T)$.
Since $x_n = \Pi C_n, x_1$ and $x_{n+1} = \Pi C_{n+1}, x_1 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we have $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1)$. This shows that \{\phi(x_n, x_1)\} is nondecreasing. By the boundedness of \{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}, we know the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1)$ exists. By the construction of $C_n$, for any positive integer $m \geq n$, we have $C_m \subset C_n$ and $x_m = \Pi C_m x_1 \in C_n$. This shows that
\[\phi(x_m, x_n) = \phi(x_m, \Pi C_n x_1) \leq \phi(x_m, x_1) - \phi(x_n, x_1) \to 0, \text{ as } m, n \to \infty.\]
When \( m = n + 1 \), we also have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0 \). It follows from Lemma 1.2 that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.
\]

It follows from (2.1) and \( x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1 \in C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n \) that
\[
\phi(x_n, T(PT)^{n-1}x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} (x_n - x_{n+1}, Jx_n - JT(PT)^{n-1}x_n) + \xi_n.
\]
So we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, T(PT)^{n-1}x_n) = 0. \tag{2.5}
\]

By Lemma 1.1 (ii) and (2.5), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\|x_n\| - \|T(PT)^{n-1}x_n\|) = 0. \tag{2.6}
\]

Since \( x_n \to \bar{x} \), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(PT)^{n-1}x_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|.
\]

Since \( J \) is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of \( E \), we have that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|JT(PT)^{n-1}x_n\| = \|J\bar{x}\|. \tag{2.7}
\]

This implies that \( \{JT(PT)^{n-1}x_n\} \) is bounded. Both \( E \) and \( E^* \) are reflexive, without loss of generality, we may assume there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) such that \( JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup y^* \in E^* \). In view of the reflexivity of \( E \), we see that there exists an element \( y \in E \) such that \( Jy = y^* \). It follows that
\[
\phi(x_{n_j}, T(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j}) = \|x_{n_j}\|^2 \leq 2\langle x_{n_j}, JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rangle + \|T(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j}\|^2
\]
\[
= \|x_{n_j}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_j}, JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rangle + \|J(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j}\|^2.
\]

By (2.5), taking \( \lim_{n_j \to \infty} \) on both sides of the equality above yields that
\[
0 = \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, y^* \rangle + \|y^*\|^2
\]
\[
= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jy \rangle + \|Jy\|^2
\]
\[
= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2
\]
\[
= \phi(\bar{x}, y)
\]

That is \( \bar{x} = y \), which in turn implies that \( y^* = J\bar{x} \). It follows that \( JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup J\bar{x} \). By the Kadec-Klee property of \( E \) and (2.7), we obtain \( \lim_{n_j \to \infty} JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} = J\bar{x} \). Since \( J^{-1} : E^* \to E \) is demicontinuous, we have \( T(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \). In view of the Kadec-Klee property of \( E \) and (2.6), we have
\[
\lim_{n_j \to \infty} T(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} = \bar{x}.
\]

If there exists another subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \) such that \( JT(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup \hat{y}^* \in E^* \), and there exists an element \( \hat{y} \in E \) such that \( J\hat{y} = \hat{y}^* \), we can similarly prove that \( \bar{x} = \hat{y} \) and
\[
\lim_{n_j \to \infty} T(PT)^{n_j-1}x_{n_j} = \bar{x}.
\]

So we see that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} T(PT)^{n-1}x_n = \bar{x}. \tag{2.8}
\]
Again by the assumptions that $T$ is asymptotically regular and (2.8), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(PT)^nx_n - \bar{x}\| \\
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} (\|T(PT)^nx_n - T(PT)^{n-1}x_n\| + \|T(PT)^{n-1}x_n - \bar{x}\|) \\
= 0.
\] (2.9)

It follows from (2.9) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} T(PT)^nx_n = \bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} TP(T(PT)^{n-1}x_n) = \bar{x}.
\]

By virtue of the continuity of $TP$, we have $TP\bar{x} = \bar{x}$. Since $\bar{x} \in C$ and $P\bar{x} = \bar{x}$, so we get $T\bar{x} = \bar{x}$. So we have $\bar{x} \in F(T)$.

(V) Finally, we prove that $x_n \to \bar{x} = \Pi_{F(T)}x_1$.

Let $\omega = \Pi_{F(T)}x_1$. Since $\omega \in F(T) \subset C_n$ and $x_n = \Pi_{C_n}x_1$, we get $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(\omega, x_1), n \geq 1$. This implies that
\[
\phi(\bar{x}, x_1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(\omega, x_1).
\] (2.10)

In view of the definition of $\Pi_{F(T)}x_1$, from (2.10) we have $\bar{x} = \omega$. Therefore, $x_n \to \bar{x} = \Pi_{F(T)}x_1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex, smooth Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $T : C \to C$ be a continuous asymptotically strict quasi-$\phi$ pseudocontraction (definition see, Definition 1.1). Suppose $T$ is asymptotically regular and $F(T)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by

\[
\begin{cases}
x_1 \in E, \text{ chosen arbitrarily; } C_1 = C \\
C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(x_n, T^n x_n) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \kappa} \langle x_n - z, Jx_n - JT^n x_n \rangle + \xi_n\} \\
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\] (2.11)

where $\xi_n = \frac{\mu_n \sup_{p \in F(T)} \phi(p, x_n)}{1 - \kappa}$. Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(T)}x_1$ which is a fixed point of $T$ in $C$.

**Remark 2.3.** Corollary 2.3 is a generalization of the main result in [13].

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $E$ be a real uniformly convex and smooth Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $T : C \to E$ be a continuous quasi-$\phi$ asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping. Suppose $T$ is asymptotically regular and $F(T)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by

\[
\begin{cases}
x_1 \in E, \text{ chosen arbitrarily, } C_1 = C, \\
C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(x_n, T(PT)^{n-1} x_n) \leq 2 \langle x_n - z, Jx_n - JT(PT)^{n-1} x_n \rangle + \xi_n\}, \\
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\] (2.12)

where $\xi_n = (k_n - 1) \sup_{p \in F(T)} \phi(p, x_n)$. Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(T)}x_1$.

**Remark 2.5.** It is obvious that if $T : C \to C$ is a continuous quasi-$\phi$ asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, then the conclusion of Corollary 2.4 still holds.
3. Application to a System of Equilibrium Problems

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$ and $f : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the following conditions [24]:
(A1) $f(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in C$;
(A2) $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C$;
(A3) for each $x, y, z \in C$, $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq f(x, y)$;
(A4) for each given $x \in C$, the function $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

The “so-called” equilibrium problem with respect to $f$ is to find a $x^* \in C$ such that $f(x^*, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. The set of its solutions is denoted by $EP(f)$. Let $r > 0$, $x \in H$ and define a mapping $T_r : H \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r(x) = \{ z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \}, \forall x \in H.$$ 

then
(1) $T_r$ is single-valued, and so $z = T_r x$;
(2) $F(T_r) = EP(f)$, and $F(T_r)$ is a nonempty and closed convex subset of $C$;
(3) $T_r : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping. Since $F(T_r)$ is nonempty, and so it is a quasi-nonexpansive mapping from $C$ to $C$, where $\phi(x, y) = \| x - y \|^2, \forall x, y \in H$.

In the following, we shall utilize corollary 2.4 to study an iterative algorithm for a system of equilibrium problems. We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying conditions (A1)–(A4) as given above. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} 
 x_1 \in E, \text{chosen arbitrarily}; C_1 = C \\
 f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, r > 0, \forall y \in C, \\
 C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \| x_n - u_n \|^2 \leq 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - u_n \rangle + \xi_n \} \tag{3.1} \\
 x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}$$

where $P_{C_{n+1}}$ is the metric projection from $H$ onto $C_{n+1}$. If $\mathcal{F} = F(T_r) \neq \emptyset$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}} x_1$.

Proof. Since $u_n = T_r x_n$ and $F(T_r) = EP(f)$ is nonempty closed and convex. Again since $T_r$ is a nonexpansive mapping, $F(T_r)$ is nonempty, and so $T_r$ is quasi-$\phi$ nonexpansive mapping. Hence (3.1) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} 
 x_1 \in H, \text{chosen arbitrarily}; C_1 = C \\
 C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \| x_n - T_r x_n \|^2 \leq 2\langle x_n - z, x_n - T_r x_n \rangle + \xi_n \} \tag{3.2} \\
 x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \forall n \geq 1.
\end{cases}$$

The conclusion of Theorem 3.1 can be obtained from Corollary 2.4 immediately.
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